## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## **NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

trees Comments Comments

08 JUN -3 PM 1: 26

This space for

Clerk's Office File Stamp

TC	): Hus Distric	CT JUDGE / 🖵 U. S. MAGIS	TD ATE HIDGE	Larry A. Burns	Eec	(61)(F )(D)	
FR	OM: E. Lloyd,	Deputy Clerk	RECEIV	ED DATE: 3Y:	5/30/08	DEPUTY	
CASE NO.: 07cv2323 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Amos Cendali, Jr.							
CASE TITLE: Cendali v. Trex Enterprise Corp.							
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion to Review Court Ruling							
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:							
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy					
X	5.1 5.3	Missing time and date on mo Document illegible or submit		•	on		
		5.4 Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.					
	7.1 or 47.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
X	7.1 or 47.1 Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document						
	7.1 or 47.1 Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions						
	7.1 Missing table of contents						
	15.1 Amended pleading not complete in itself						
	30.1 Depositions not accepted absent a court order						
	Supplemental documents require court order						
	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest						
	OTHER: Case closed						
File as motion for reconsideration of order of dismissal.							
			Da	ite forwarded:	5/30/08		
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:							
<u>X</u>							
<b>_</b>	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a						
	copy of this order on all parties.						
	Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? $\square$ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers $\square$						
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1  CHAMBERS OF: U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE						
	Dated: 6/2/0	By: _	MDM/LC		·		